## Reading Group W21 Lorentzian Polynomials (Part III)

Alex Tung 24 February, 2021

## Agenda

- Proof of Mason's conjecture
- Preservers of Lorentzian polynomials

# Agenda

- Proof of Mason's conjecture
- Preservers of Lorentzian polynomials

#### Mason's conjectures

• These are three statements of increasing strength:

Conjecture 4.13. For any matroid M on [n] and any positive integer k,

(1) 
$$I_k(M)^2 \ge I_{k-1}(M)I_{k+1}(M)$$
,

(2) 
$$I_k(M)^2 \ge \frac{k+1}{k} I_{k-1}(M) I_{k+1}(M)$$
,

(3)  $I_k(M)^2 \ge \frac{k+1}{k} \frac{n-k+1}{n-k} I_{k-1}(M) I_{k+1}(M)$ ,

where  $I_k(M)$  is the number of k-element independent sets of M.

#### Tutte Polynomial

- Given *M*: matroid on [n],  $rk_M: 2^{[n]} \to \mathbb{N}$  its rank function
- Consider the polynomial in  $H_n^k$

$$Z_{q,M}^{k}(\boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_{A \in \binom{n}{k}} q^{-rk_{M}(A)} \boldsymbol{w}^{A}$$

• Define the *homogeneous multivariate Tutte polynomial of M* by

$$Z_{q,M}(w_0, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} Z_{q,M}^k(\mathbf{w}) w_0^{n-k}$$

#### How it relates to ULC of $I_k(M)$

• 
$$Z_{q,M}^{k}(w) = \sum_{A \in \binom{n}{k}} q^{-rk_{M}(A)} w^{A}$$
  
•  $Z_{q,M}(w_{0}, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} Z_{q,M}^{k}(w) w_{0}^{n-k}$ 

- Want to "kill" dependent sets, those with  $rk_M(A) < |A|$
- Diagonalize and use property of *bivariate* Lorentzian polynomials

#### Main Theorem

**Theorem 4.10.** For any matroid M and  $0 < q \leq 1$ , the polynomial  $Z_{q,M}$  is Lorentzian.

**Lemma 4.11.** The support of  $Z_{q,M}$  is M-convex for all  $0 < q \leq 1$ .



#### Whiteboard

•  $Z_{q,M}^k(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{A \in \binom{n}{k}} q^{-rk_M(A)} \mathbf{w}^A$ 

• 
$$Z_{q,M}(w_0, w) = \sum_{k=0}^n Z_{q,M}^k(w) w_0^{n-k}$$

· Strategy: Induction on n.

• For ieln], 
$$\partial_i Z_{q,M} = Z_{q,M/i} g^{-rk_M(i)}$$
  
 $\left( rk_M(A) - rk_M(i) + rk_M(i) \right)$   
 $\left( rk_M(A) - rk_M(i) + rk_M(i) \right)$   
 $\partial_0^{n-2} Z_{q,M} = (n-2)! \left[ \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \omega_0^2 + (n-1) Z_{q,M}^{-1} \omega_0 + Z_{q,M}^2 \right]$ 

$$. \Delta \ge 0 \in (\mathbb{Z}_{q,n}^{1}(w))^{2} \ge 2 \frac{n}{n-1} (\mathbb{Z}_{q,n}^{2}(w))$$

# Whiteboard

• 
$$Z_{q,M}^k(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{A \in \binom{n}{k}} q^{-rk_M(A)} \mathbf{w}^A$$

• 
$$Z_{q,M}(w_0, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} Z_{q,M}^k(w) w_0^{n-k}$$

• Mapping : 
$$\omega_{i} \mapsto q \omega_{i}$$
 if  $\mathsf{rk}_{\mathsf{M}}(i) = 1$   
Then:  
 $Z_{q,\mathsf{M}}^{2}(\omega) = \omega_{i} + \omega_{2}\tau_{\cdots} + \omega_{n} =: Q_{\mathsf{Enl}}^{1}(\omega)$   
 $Z_{q,\mathsf{M}}^{2}(\omega) = Q_{\mathsf{Enl}}^{2}(\omega) - (1-q_{2})(Q_{\mathsf{P}_{i}}^{2}(\omega) + \dots + Q_{\mathsf{P}_{k}}^{2}(\omega))$   $P_{i}: parallel closues.$   
 $\log p_{i} = \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}} \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}} \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}} \frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}$   
 $\Rightarrow P_{i} = \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}} \frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}} \frac{q_{i}}{p_{i}}$ 

#### • $Z_{q,M}^k(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{A \in \binom{n}{k}} q^{-rk_M(A)} \mathbf{w}^A$ • $Z_{q,M}(w_0, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{k=0}^n Z_{q,M}^k(\mathbf{w}) w_0^{n-k}$

• Need to check  $(Z_{q,M}(u))^2 \ge 2\frac{n}{n-1}Z_{q,M}^2(u)$ , or  $(e_{in}(u))^2 \ge 2\frac{n}{n-1}[e_{in}^2(u)-(i-g)\ge e_{in}^2(u)]$ 

• Case 1: 
$$\overline{zep}$$
;  $\omega \ge 0$ . Check  $n \ge \omega_i^2 \ge (\overline{z}\omega_i)^2$ .

• Case 2: 
$$\sum_{i} e_{p_i}^2 c_{i} c_{i} c_{i} c_{i}$$
. Check  $n\left(\sum_{rk(i)=0}^{\infty} + \sum_{i}^{\infty} (e_{p_i}^2 c_{i})^2\right) \ge (e_{i}^2 c_{i} c_{i})^2$ .

$$W_{1}^{2} + \dots + W_{j}^{2} + (W_{j+1} + \dots + W_{j})^{2} + \dots + (W \dots)^{2}$$
  
If there are N parts,  

$$N(P) \ge (W_{1} + \dots + W_{n})^{2}$$
  

$$\implies N(-2) \ge (W_{1} + \dots + W_{n})^{2}$$

# Wrapping up the proof

•  $Z_{q,M}^k(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{A \in \binom{n}{k}} q^{-rk_M(A)} \mathbf{w}^A$ 

• 
$$Z_{q,M}(w_0, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{k=0}^n Z_{q,M}^k(\mathbf{w}) w_0^{n-k}$$

•  $A \subseteq [n]$  is dependent iff  $rk_M(A) < |A|$ 



- Key is to consider the polynomial  $f_M(w_0, w) = \lim_{q \to 0+} Z_{q,M}(w_0, qw)$ =  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{A \in J_k} \omega^A\right) \omega_{o}^{-k}$
- Setting  $w_1 = w_2 = \dots = w_n = z$ , we end up with a bivariate Lorentzian polynomial  $g_M(w_0, z) = \sum_{k=0}^n I_k(M) z^k w_0^{n-k}$

log-uncave-iii

• Coefficient sequence is ULC, so done :)

# Agenda

- Proof of Mason's conjecture
- Preservers of Lorentzian polynomials

#### Motivation

- Showing that certain polynomials are Lorentzian
- Creating new Lorentzian polynomials from existing ones

#### What we already know...

#### Homogeneous operator and its symbol

- Let T: ℝ<sub>κ</sub>[w<sub>i</sub>] → ℝ<sub>γ</sub>[w<sub>i</sub>] be a linear operator
   Consider polynomial whose monomiale w<sup>t</sup> satisfy D∈pi≤k;
- It is *homogeneous of degree l* if it maps any monomial of degree d to zero or to a monomial of degree d + l

• The symbol of *T* is defined as

$$sym_T(w,u) \coloneqq \sum_{0 \le \alpha \le \kappa} {\kappa \choose \alpha} T(w^{\alpha}) u^{\kappa-\alpha}$$

# Main theorems of the day $sym_{\tau} = \sum_{\substack{m \in K \\ m \in K}} (k) T(m^{m}) \cdot m^{k-m}$

**Theorem 3.2.** If sym<sub>*T*</sub>  $\in L_{m+n}^{k+\ell}$  and  $f \in L_n^d \cap \mathbb{R}_{\kappa}[w_i]$ , then  $T(f) \in L_m^{d+\ell}$ .

Theorem 3.4. If T is a homogeneous linear operator that preserves stable polynomials and polynomials with nonnegative coefficients, then T preserves Lorentzian polynomials.

#### More stable polynomial facts

- Given stable  $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[w_1, \dots, w_n]$
- Write  $f \prec g$  if  $g + w_{n+1} f$  is stable

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $f, g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2$  be stable polynomials satisfying  $h_1 < f < g_1$  and  $h_2 < f < g_2$ .

(1) The derivative  $\partial_1 f$  is stable and  $\partial_1 f < f$ .

(2) The diagonalization  $f(w_1, w_1, w_3, \ldots, w_n)$  is stable.

(3) The dilation  $f(a_1w_1, \ldots, a_nw_n)$  is stable for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ .

→ (4) If *f* is not identically zero, then *f* < θ<sub>1</sub>g<sub>1</sub> + θ<sub>2</sub>g<sub>2</sub> for any θ<sub>1</sub>, θ<sub>2</sub> ≥ 0.
(5) If *f* is not identically zero, then θ<sub>1</sub>h<sub>1</sub> + θ<sub>2</sub>h<sub>2</sub> < *f* for any θ<sub>1</sub>, θ<sub>2</sub> ≥ 0.

## Proof of Theorem 3.2

• Prove a special case first: **Lemma 3.3.** Let  $T = T_{w_1,w_2} : \mathbb{R}_{(1,\dots,1)}[w_i] \to \mathbb{R}_{(1,\dots,1)}[w_i]$  be the linear operator defined by  $T(w^S) = \begin{cases} w^{S \setminus 1} & \text{if } 1 \in S \text{ and } 2 \in S, \\ w^{S \setminus 1} & \text{if } 1 \in S \text{ and } 2 \notin S, \\ w^{S \setminus 2} & \text{if } 1 \notin S \text{ and } 2 \in S, \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 \notin S \text{ and } 2 \notin S, \end{cases} \text{ for all } S \subseteq [n].$ Then *T* preserves the Lorentzian property. Sym<sub>T</sub>( $(u,u) = \sum_{A \leq lm} T(u^{A}) \cdot u^{lm} = \sum_{A' \leq ls, ..., ns} (h' (u^{l}) \cdot u^{lm} - A' - l) + 1 \cdot u^{lm} - A' - l)$   $0 \leq a \leq k \qquad (A = Q (P | l l l l) \cup (P | l l l) \cup A' ) + 1 \cdot u^{lm} - A' - l)$   $= \left( \sum_{A' \leq ls, ..., n} U^{A'} (u^{l}) \cdot A' - h' (u^{l}) + 1 \cdot u^{l} + 1 \cdot u$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** If sym<sub>*T*</sub>  $\in L_{m+n}^{k+\ell}$  and  $f \in L_n^d \cap \mathbb{R}_{\kappa}[w_i]$ , then  $T(f) \in L_m^{d+\ell}$ .

#### Whiteboard

Proof of Lemma 3.1:  
let 
$$f \in |\mathcal{R}_{(1,\dots,3)}[W_{1,\dots,W_n}] \cap L^{n+1}$$
  
· Chook supplit(f)) is M-convex.  
supplit(f)) = (50(x 50,13 x ... x 50,11)) \cap {a: |a\_1]=d}  
· Check  $\Im^{s}(T(f))$  is Lorentzran/stable for  $|s| = d-2$ .  
If  $1 \in S$ ,  $\Im^{s}(T(f)) = 0$   
If  $1 4 S \dots f = h + W_1 \Im_1 f$ . Then  $T(f) = \Im_2 h + \Im_1 f$   
If  $2 \in S$ ,  $\Im_2 T(f) = \Im_2 f$  which weak  $\Im^{s} T(f) = \Im^{s,01} f$ .  
If  $2 \in S$ ,  $\Im_2 T(f) = \Im_2 f$  which weak  $\Im^{s} T(f) = \Im^{s,01} f$ .  
If  $2 \notin S$ ,  $\Im^{s} T(f) = \Im^{s} \Im_{s} f$ .  
 $\Im^{s} \Im_{s} f \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \Im^{s} \Im_{s} f$  and  $\Im^{s} \Im_{s} f \in \mathcal{A} \setminus J^{s} \Im_{s} h$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** If sym<sub>*T*</sub>  $\in L_{m+n}^{k+\ell}$  and  $f \in L_n^d \cap \mathbb{R}_{\kappa}[w_i]$ , then  $T(f) \in L_m^{d+\ell}$ .

Whiteboard Polarization: IIx: Rx[wi] ~ Rx[wij] in hallks variables.  $\omega_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} \dots \omega_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \mapsto (\binom{k}{\alpha})^{\circ} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{C}_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}$  $W_{11},\ldots,W_{i}K_{i}$ Projection: TTK: Rx[Wij] - Rx[Wi] Wiit w: Polarization of operator T: [RX [w;] = Ro [w;] [Rx [w;]] > [Ro [w;]] TTT:= TTX = T = TTX Key property: "polarization of Symbol = Symbol of polarized poly" TTX = Sympt.

**Theorem 3.2.** If sym<sub>*T*</sub>  $\in L_{m+n}^{k+\ell}$  and  $f \in L_n^d \cap \mathbb{R}_{\kappa}[w_i]$ , then  $T(f) \in L_m^{d+\ell}$ .

#### Whiteboard

Consider 
$$f(w)\in L_n$$
 multicifie.  
 $sym_T(w,u)\in L_{min}$ . Target: Show that  $T(f)\in L_n$ .  
 $sym_T(w,u) = \sum_{0 \le a \le K} T(u^a) \cdot U^{x-a}$   
 $\sum_{0 \le a \le K} T(u^a) \cdot u^{x-u} \cdot f(v)$  is Lorentzia.  
 $Let's denske specatur by  $Tu_{i,v_i}$   
 $\left( \prod_{i=1}^n Tu_{i,v_i} \right) \left( \sum_{0 \le a \le k} T(w^a) \cdot U^{k-a} \cdot f(v) \right) f = \Xi(a U^a)$   
 $= \sum_{0 \le a \le k} T(w^a) \cdot \Im^a f(v)$ .  $T(f) = \Sigma(a T(w^a))$   
 $set v_i = 0 \Rightarrow get \Xi(a T(w^a)) = T(f)$ .$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** If *T* is a homogeneous linear operator that preserves stable polynomials and polynomials with nonnegative coefficients, then *T* preserves Lorentzian polynomials.

## Proof of Theorem 3.4

• Let's invoke a blackbox:

Proof. According to [BB09, Theorem 2.2], T preserves stable polynomials if and only if either

(I) the rank of T is not greater than two and T is of the form

 $T(f) = \alpha(f)P + \beta(f)Q,$ 

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are linear functionals and P, Q are stable polynomials satisfying P < Q,

(II) the polynomial  $\operatorname{sym}_T(w, u)$  is stable, or (III) the polynomial  $\operatorname{sym}_T(w, -u)$  is stable.

## Consequence #1

• If  $f \in L_n^d$ , then  $MAP(f) \in L_n^d$ 

## Consequence #2

• The *normalization* of  $f \in L_n^d$  (denoted by N(f)) is again Lorentzian

$$f = \Sigma (\alpha \omega^{\alpha})$$
,  $N(f) = \Sigma \frac{(\alpha)}{\alpha!} \omega^{\alpha}$ .

## Consequence #3

• If N(f) and N(g) are Lorentzian then so is N(fg)

N(h) >> N(gh)

